1. Honor Code (0 pts)

Please copy the following statement in the space provided below and sign your name.

As a member of the UC Berkeley community, I act with honesty, integrity, and respect for others. I will follow the rules and do this exam on my own.

If you do not copy the honor code and sign your name, you will get a 0 on the exam.

Solution:

2. SID (3 pts)

When the exam starts, write your SID at the top of every page. No extra time will be given for this task.

- 3. Favorites. Any answer, as long as you write it down, will be given full credit. (2 pts)
 - (a) (1 pts) What's your favorite restaurant in Berkeley?Solution: Any answer is fine.
 - (b) (1 pts) What's some music that makes you happy?Solution: Any answer is fine.

Consider a 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 8\\ 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

The eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of $A^{\top}A$ are

$$(\lambda_1, \vec{v}_1) = \left(90, \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}\right), \qquad (\lambda_2, \vec{v}_2) = \left(40, \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}\right). \tag{2}$$

- (a) (3 pts) What are the singular values of the matrix A? You do not have to justify your answer.
 HINT: You should not have to do much computation here.
 Solution: We have that σ_i{A} = √λ_i{A^TA} by definition. Hence σ₁{A} = √90 and σ₂{A} = √40.
- (b) (4 pts) For A as given in (1) with $A^{\top}A$ as given in (2), consider the following problem:

$$p^{\star} = \min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \\ \operatorname{rank}(B) = 1}} \|A - B\|_{F}^{2}.$$
 (3)

What is the value of p^* ? Justify your answer.

HINT: You should not have to do any computation at all here.

Solution: The rank 1 approximation minimizing the Frobenius norm error of a matrix $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i \{A\} u_i v_i^{\top}$ is $\sigma_1 \{A\} u_1 v_1^{\top}$. Hence the squared Frobenius norm error for the best rank 1 approximation is $\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sigma_i \{A\}^2$. In our case, since the matrix is 2×2 , this error just becomes $\sigma_2 \{A\}^2 = 40$. EECS 127/227AT Midterm

5. ℓ^{∞} Constraint (7 pts)

Consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{\vec{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \vec{x} \tag{4}$$

s.t.
$$\|\vec{x}\|_{\infty} \le 1$$
 (5)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\1 \end{bmatrix}^{'} \vec{x} \le -1, \tag{6}$$

where $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

(a) (4 pts) Sketch the feasible region of the above optimization problem in the graph provided below. Label each constraint.



Solution:



Here the first constraint is in red, the second constraint is in blue, and the overall feasible region is the green polygon.

(b) (3 pts) Sketch the 2-level set, the 0-level set, and the -2-level set of the objective function in (4). Label each set.



Solution:



We see here the level sets of the objective function – the -2-level set in purple, the 0-level set in black, and the 2-level set in red.

6. Convexity of the Probability Simplex (5 pts)

Let n be a positive integer. The probability simplex on \mathbb{R}^n , denoted \mathcal{P}_n , is the set

$$\mathcal{P}_{n} = \left\{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{i} \ge 0 \; \forall i, \; \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} = 1 \right\} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Is \mathcal{P}_n convex? If yes, prove it. If no, justify your answer using an example.

Solution: Yes, \mathcal{P}_n is convex. Let $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ let $\theta \in [0, 1]$, and define $\vec{z} = \theta \vec{x} + (1 - \theta) \vec{y}$. We show that $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Indeed,

$$z_i = \underbrace{\theta}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{x_i}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{(1-\theta)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{y_i}_{\geq 0}$$
(8)

$$\geq 0. \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\theta x_i + (1-\theta)y_i)$$
(10)

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\theta x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(1-\theta)y_{i}$$
(11)

$$= \theta \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ =1}}^{n} x_i + (1-\theta) \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ =1}}^{n} y_i$$
(12)

$$=\theta + (1-\theta) = 1. \tag{13}$$

Thus $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ so \mathcal{P}_n is convex.

PRINT your student ID:

7. Vector Calculus (12 pts)

(a) (6 pts) Let $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$ be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Compute the gradient with respect to \vec{x} of the function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus {\vec{0}} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(\vec{x}) \doteq \frac{\vec{x}^{\top} A \vec{x}}{\vec{x}^{\top} \vec{x}}.$$
(14)

Show your work.

HINT: Recall the quotient rule for finding the gradient of $h(\vec{x}) = \frac{n(\vec{x})}{d(\vec{x})}$ where *n* and *d* are scalar-valued functions:

$$\nabla h(\vec{x}) = \frac{d(\vec{x})\nabla n(\vec{x}) - n(\vec{x})\nabla d(\vec{x})}{(d(\vec{x}))^2}.$$
(15)

Solution: Define $n(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^{\top} A \vec{x}$ and $d(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^{\top} \vec{x}$. The gradients of these functions are

$$\nabla n(\vec{x}) = (A + A^{\top})\vec{x} = 2A\vec{x}$$
(16)

$$\nabla d(\vec{x}) = 2\vec{x}.\tag{17}$$

Then $f(\vec{x}) = \frac{n(\vec{x})}{d(\vec{x})}$, so we have

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \frac{d(\vec{x})\nabla n(\vec{x}) - n(\vec{x})\nabla d(\vec{x})}{(d(\vec{x}))^2}$$
$$= \frac{[\vec{x}^\top \vec{x}][2A\vec{x}] - [\vec{x}^\top A\vec{x}][2\vec{x}]}{(\vec{x}^\top \vec{x})^2}$$
$$= 2\frac{A\vec{x}\vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - \vec{x}\vec{x}^\top A\vec{x}}{(\vec{x}^\top \vec{x})^2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{\vec{x}^\top \vec{x}} \left(A - \frac{\vec{x}^\top A\vec{x}}{\vec{x}^\top \vec{x}}I\right)\vec{x}.$$

(b) (6 pts) Let $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Compute the Jacobian with respect to \vec{x} of the function $\vec{g} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\vec{g}(\vec{x}) \doteq \vec{x}(\vec{x}^{\top}\vec{u}). \tag{18}$$

Show your work.

Solution: Define $h(\vec{x}) = \vec{u}^{\top} \vec{x}$. Then $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(\vec{x}) = u_i$. Also, define $g_i(\vec{x}) = h(\vec{x})x_i$ and we can compute the partial derivatives as:

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_i}(\vec{x}) = h(\vec{x}) + x_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(\vec{x}) = \vec{u}^\top \vec{x} + u_i x_i$$
(19)

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}(\vec{x}) = x_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(\vec{x}) = x_i u_j.$$
(20)

If we stack these partial derivatives in a Jacobian matrix it follows that:

$$D\vec{g}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}\vec{u}^{\top} + (\vec{u}^{\top}\vec{x})I.$$
⁽²¹⁾

PRINT your student ID: _____ EECS 127/227AT Midterm

8. Gradient Descent (18 pts)

Let $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a fixed and known vector. In this problem we will use gradient descent to solve the following problem:

$$\min_{\vec{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} f_0(\vec{x}) \tag{22}$$

where
$$f_0(\vec{x}) \doteq \frac{1}{2} \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|_2^2$$
. (23)

(a) (4 pts) Is $f_0(\vec{x})$ a convex function? Justify your answer.

Solution: We compute

$$\nabla f_0(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} - \vec{y}$$
 and $\nabla^2 f_0(\vec{x}) = I.$ (24)

Thus, the objective function is convex as its Hessian is *I*, which is PSD.

We run gradient descent on f_0 with step size $\eta > 0$ and initialization \vec{x}_0 , obtaining the iterates $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3, \dots$

(b) (5 pts) **Prove that for each** $t \ge 0$ we have

$$\vec{x}_t - \vec{y} = (1 - \eta)^t (\vec{x}_0 - \vec{y}).$$
(25)

Solution: We have

$$\vec{x}_{t+1} = \vec{x}_t - \eta \nabla f_0(\vec{x}_t) \tag{26}$$

$$=\vec{x}_t - \eta(\vec{x}_t - \vec{y}) \tag{27}$$

$$= (1-\eta)\vec{x}_t + \eta\vec{y}.$$
(28)

Then subtracting \vec{y} from both sides, we get

$$\vec{x}_{t+1} - \vec{y} = (1 - \eta)\vec{x}_t + \eta\vec{y} - \vec{y}$$
(29)

$$= (1 - \eta)\vec{x}_t - (1 - \eta)\vec{y}$$
(30)

$$= (1 - \eta)(\vec{x}_t - \vec{y}). \tag{31}$$

By induction we have

$$\vec{x}_t - \vec{y} = (1 - \eta)^t (\vec{x}_0 - \vec{y}).$$
(32)

(c) (4 pts) Determine the range of $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for *all* initializations \vec{x}_0 , we have $\vec{x}_1 = \vec{y}$. Justify your *answer*.

Solution: We have

$$\vec{x}_1 - \vec{y} = (1 - \eta)(\vec{x}_0 - \vec{y}). \tag{33}$$

For this to be $\vec{0}$ for all choices of \vec{x}_0 we must have $1 - \eta = 0$, i.e., $\eta = 1$.

(d) (5 pts) Determine the range of η ∈ ℝ such that, for *all* initializations x₀ and *all* t ≥ 0, we have that x_t is a *convex* combination of x₀ and y. Justify your answer.
HINT: What happens if we add y to both sides of (25)?

© UCB EECS 127/227AT, Spring 2023. All Rights Reserved. This may not be publicly shared without explicit permission.

Solution: We have

$$\vec{x}_t = (1 - \eta)^t (\vec{x}_0 - \vec{y}) + \vec{y}$$

= $(1 - \eta)^t \vec{x}_0 + (1 - (1 - \eta)^t) \vec{y}.$

This is a convex combination if and only if $(1 - \eta)^t \in [0, 1]$. If t is even then $(1 - \eta)^t \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $1 - \eta \in [-1, 1]$ if and only if $\eta \in [0, 2]$. If t is odd then $(1 - \eta)^t \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $1 - \eta \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $\eta \in [0, 1]$. Since we want $(1 - \eta)^t \in [0, 1]$ for all t, this is true if and only if $\eta \in [0, 1] \cap [0, 2] = [0, 1]$.

9. Shift Matrix (10 pts)

Let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a square orthonormal matrix, i.e., its columns are orthogonal and have norm 1:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \dots & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_{n-1} & \vec{v}_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow & \downarrow \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (34)

Now, we define the shifted matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, which is composed of the columns of V shifted to the left by 1 index and padded by a zero vector:

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \dots & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 & \dots & \vec{v}_n & \vec{0} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow & \downarrow \end{bmatrix}.$$
(35)

- (a) (4 pts) What is rank(V)? What about rank(W)? You do not need to justify your answers.
 - **Solution:** V is orthogonal, so it has full column rank. Therefore $\operatorname{rank}(V) = n$. Since $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a set of n linearly independent vectors, $\{v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a set of n-1 linearly independent vectors. $\vec{0}$ is linearly dependent to all other vectors, so there are just n-1 linearly independent columns of W. Therefore $\operatorname{rank}(W) = n-1$.
- (b) (6 pts) Find a basis for the null space of V − W and compute rank(V − W). Show your work. HINT: Write out the definition of null space for V − W.
 Solution: Suppose x ∈ N(V − W). Then,

$$\vec{0} = (V - W)\vec{x} \tag{36}$$

$$\implies \vec{0} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i (\vec{v}_i - \vec{v}_{i+1})\right] + x_n \vec{v}_n \tag{37}$$

$$\implies \vec{0} = x_1 \vec{v}_1 + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \vec{v}_{i+1} \right]$$
(38)

Since v_i are all linearly independent, this implies that $x_n = \ldots = x_1 = 0$, which means that the null space is trivial. By rank-nullity, this means that $\operatorname{rank}(V - W) = n - \dim(\mathcal{N}(V - W)) = n$

10. Symmetric Matrices (10 pts)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a square matrix.

(a) (4 pts) Prove that if A is symmetric then A^{2k} is symmetric positive semidefinite for all integers k > 1.

Solution: Many different proofs. Diagonalizing A we get $A = U\Lambda U^{\top}$. Then $A^k = U\Lambda^{2k}U^{\top}$ and $\Lambda^{2k} \succeq 0$.

(b) (6 pts) Prove that if A is symmetric then its *matrix exponential*, defined as $e^A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given by

$$e^{A} = I + A + \frac{1}{2}A^{2} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}A^{k}$$
 (39)

is symmetric positive definite.

HINT: The function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *given by* $f(x) = e^x$ *has the series definition*

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}x^k.$$
 (40)

Solution: Diagonalizing $A = U\Lambda U^{\top}$, we get

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k \tag{41}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (U\Lambda U^{\top})^k \tag{42}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} U \Lambda^k U^{\top}$$
(43)

$$= U\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \Lambda^k\right) U^{\top} \tag{44}$$

$$= U \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}^k \right) U^\top$$
(45)

$$= U \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^k}{k!} \end{bmatrix} U^{\top}$$
(46)

$$= U \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} U^{\top}.$$
 (47)

This is a symmetric matrix whose eigenvalues are $e^{\lambda_i} > 0$, hence it is positive definite.

11. Second Principal Component (8 pts)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs given by $(\lambda_1, \vec{v}_1), \dots, (\lambda_n, \vec{v}_n)$, where $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$. Consider the problem

$$p^{\star} = \max_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \vec{x}^{\top} A \vec{x}$$

$$s.t. \quad \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 = 1$$

$$\vec{x}^{\top} \vec{v}_1 = 0.$$
(48)

Show that $p^* = \lambda_2$. *Prove your answer.*

HINT: First find an \vec{x} *which is feasible and* $\vec{x}^{\top} A \vec{x} = \lambda_2$ *. Then show that* $p^* \leq \lambda_2$ *.*

Solution: Note that $\vec{x} = \vec{v}_2$ is feasible since $\|\vec{v}_2\| = 1$ and $\vec{v_2}^\top \vec{v}_1 = 0$ because \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_1 are vectors of distinct eigenspaces. Since $\vec{v}_2^\top A \vec{v}_2 = \lambda_2$, we are done if we can show that $p^* \leq \lambda_2$.

Note that $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$ form an orthonormal eigenbasis by the spectral theorem, which furnishes A with an orthonormal diagonalization $A = V\Lambda V^{\top}$, where $V = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \cdots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix}$ and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$. For \vec{x} to be feasible, we require that $\vec{x}^{\top}\vec{v}_1 = 0$ which implies that $\vec{x} \in \text{span}(\vec{v}_1)^{\perp} = \text{span}(\vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_n)$. Hence, we can represent \vec{x} as a linear combination:

$$\vec{x} = y_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + y_n \vec{v}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = V \vec{y}$$
(49)

where

$$\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\y_2\\\vdots\\y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$
(50)

Combining everything,

$$\vec{x}^{\top} A \vec{x} = \vec{x}^{\top} V \Lambda V^{\top} \vec{x}$$
(51)

$$=\vec{y}^{\top}V^{\top}V\Lambda V^{\top}V\vec{y}$$
(52)

$$=\vec{y}^{\top}\Lambda\vec{y} \tag{53}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(54)

$$=\sum_{i=2}^{n}\lambda_{i}y_{i}^{2}.$$
(55)

Since V is orthonormal, we have $\|\vec{y}\|_2 = \|V^{\top}\vec{x}\|_2 = \|\vec{x}\|_2$, so the optimization problem above can be restated as

$$p^{\star} = \max_{\substack{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|\vec{y}\|_2 = 1 \\ y_1 = 0}} \vec{y}^{\top} \Lambda \vec{y}$$
(56)

© UCB EECS 127/227AT, Spring 2023. All Rights Reserved. This may not be publicly shared without explicit permission.

PRINT your student ID: _____

EECS 127/227AT Midterm

2023-03-19 18:21:22-07:00

$$= \max_{\substack{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \|\vec{y}\|_{2}=1 \\ y_{1}=0}} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i}^{2}$$
(57)

$$\leq \lambda_2 \cdot \max_{\substack{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|\vec{y}\|_2 = 1 \\ y_1 = 0}} \sum_{i=2}^n y_i^2 \tag{58}$$

$$= \lambda_2 \cdot \max_{\substack{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|\vec{y}\|_2 = 1 \\ y_1 = 0}} \|\vec{y}\|_2^2$$
(59)

$$=\lambda_2 \cdot 1 \tag{60}$$

$$=\lambda_2.$$
 (61)

Thus, $p^{\star} \leq \lambda_2$ and we are done.

12. Block Ridge Regression (13 pts)

In this problem, we consider a certain generalization of ridge regression. For d > 0, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (3d)}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be three vectors, each of dimension d. We associate regularization parameter λ_i^2 to each vector \vec{x}_i . We stack the \vec{x}_i up to get a long 3d-dimensional vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3d}$:

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{x}_1 \\ \vec{x}_2 \\ \vec{x}_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (62)

With this notation, the block ridge regression problem is

$$\vec{x}_{\text{BRR}} = \underset{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f_0(\vec{x}) \tag{63}$$

where

re
$$f_0(\vec{x}) \doteq \|A\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i^2 \|\vec{x}_i\|_2^2$$
 (64)

$$= \|A\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|_{2}^{2} + \|D\vec{x}\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}I_{d} & 0_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} \\ 0_{d \times d} & \lambda_{2}I_{d} & 0_{d \times d} \\ 0_{d \times d} & 0_{d \times d} & \lambda_{3}I_{d} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (65)

(a) (6 pts) **Compute** $\nabla f_0(\vec{x})$. Show your work.

Solution: We have that the gradients in \vec{x} are

$$\nabla \|A\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|_{2}^{2} = 2A^{\top}(A\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = 2A^{\top}Ax - 2A^{\top}\vec{y}$$
(66)

$$\nabla \|D\vec{x}\|_{2}^{2} = 2D^{\top}D\vec{x} = 2D^{2}\vec{x}.$$
(67)

Thus summing them up, we get that the gradient is

$$\nabla f_0(\vec{x}) = 2(A^{\top}A\vec{x} - A^{\top}y + D\vec{x}) = 2(A^{\top}A + D^2)\vec{x} - 2A^{\top}\vec{y}.$$
(68)

(b) (7 pts) Recall the solution to the ridge regression problem given in class, i.e., $\vec{x}_{RR} = (A^{\top}A + \lambda I)^{-1}A^{\top}\vec{y}$. Give an expression for \vec{x}_{BRR} that is similar in structure to the expression for \vec{x}_{RR} . Justify your answer.

Solution: The Hessian of f_0 is

$$\nabla^2 f_0(\vec{x}) = 2A^{\top}A + 2D^2.$$
(69)

We claim that it is PD. Indeed, for $\vec{w} \neq \vec{0}$, we have

$$\vec{w}^{\top} [\nabla^2 f_0(\vec{x})] \vec{w} = \vec{w}^{\top} (2A^{\top}A + 2D^2) \vec{w}$$
(70)

$$= 2\vec{w}^{\top}A^{\top}A\vec{w} + 2\vec{w}^{\top}D^{2}\vec{w}$$
(71)

$$= 2 \underbrace{\|A\vec{w}\|_{2}^{2}}_{\geq 0} + 2 \underbrace{\|D\vec{w}\|_{2}^{2}}_{> 0}$$
(72)

Thus $\nabla^2 f_0(\vec{x})$ is PD. Moreover, $A^{\top}A + D^2$ has all positive eigenvalues and so is invertible.

>

The former fact gives that f_0 is convex. Thus we can take the gradient and set it to 0 to obtain the solution. We get

$$\vec{0} = \nabla f_0(\vec{x}_{\rm BRR}) \tag{74}$$

PRINT your student ID: _____

EECS 127/227AT Midterm

$$= 2(A^{\top}A + D^2)\vec{x}_{\rm BRR} - 2A^{\top}\vec{y}$$
(75)

$$\implies (A^{\top}A + D^2)\vec{x}_{\text{BRR}} = A^{\top}\vec{y}$$
(76)

$$\implies \vec{x}_{\text{BRR}} = (A^{\top}A + D^2)^{-1}A^{\top}\vec{y}.$$
(77)

13. Low-Rank Matrix Completion (28 pts)

Consider a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. If some entries are corrupted, one principled way to identify A is to find the matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of minimal rank that agrees with A on all known entries. This can be formulated as an optimization problem whose objective function is rank(B). Because the rank (\cdot) function is not continuous, we use the intuition that a low-rank matrix will only have a few nonzero singular values, and instead use the sum-of-singular-values function as the objective:

$$f(B) \doteq \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rank}(B)} \sigma_i\{B\}$$
(78)

where $\sigma_i \{B\}$ is the *i*th largest singular value of B. In this problem we will explore some properties of f.

(a) (8 pts) Prove that

$$f(B) \le \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr}(C^\top B).$$
(79)

Here $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace, which for a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with entries X_{ij} is $\operatorname{tr}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} X_{ii}$. HINT: Expand B into its SVD. Try to find a $D \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that $\|D\|_2 = 1$ and $\operatorname{tr}(D^\top B) = f(B)$.

HINT: You may use the cyclic property of traces without proof. If XYZ and ZXY are valid matrix products then tr(XYZ) = tr(ZXY).

Solution: Let $r \doteq \operatorname{rank}(B)$. Let $B = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^{\top}$ be the compact SVD of B. Let $D = U_r V_r^{\top}$. Then $\|D\|_2 = 1$, so

$$\max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr}(C^\top B) \ge \operatorname{tr}(D^\top B)$$
(80)

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(V_r U_r^\top U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top\right) \tag{81}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(V_r \Sigma_r V_r^{\top}\right) \tag{82}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(V_r^{\top} V_r \Sigma_r\right) \tag{83}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_r) \tag{84}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{\prime}\sigma_i\{B\}\tag{85}$$

$$=f(B).$$
(86)

(b) (9 pts) **Prove that**

$$f(B) \ge \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr} \left(C^\top B \right).$$
(87)

Here $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace, which for a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with entries X_{ij} is $\operatorname{tr}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} X_{ii}$. *HINT: Let* $r \doteq \operatorname{rank}(B)$ and expand B into its outer product SVD, i.e., $B = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \{B\} \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^{\top}$. *HINT: You may use the cyclic and linearity properties of traces without proof. If* XYZ and ZXY are valid *matrix products then* $\operatorname{tr}(XYZ) = \operatorname{tr}(ZXY)$. Also, $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha X + \beta Y) = \alpha \operatorname{tr}(X) + \beta \operatorname{tr}(Y)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. EECS 127/227AT Midterm

Solution: Let $r = \operatorname{rank}(B)$. Let $B = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \{B\} \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^{\top}$ be an outer product SVD of B. For any $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that $\|C\|_2 \leq 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(C^{\top}B\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(C^{\top}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sigma_{i}\{B\}\vec{u}_{i}\vec{v}_{i}^{\top}\right)\right)$$
(88)

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \{B\} C^{\top} \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^{\top}\right)$$
(89)

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{\prime}\sigma_{i}\{B\}\operatorname{tr}\left(C^{\top}\vec{u}_{i}\vec{v}_{i}^{\top}\right)$$
(90)

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sigma_{i}\{B\}\operatorname{tr}\left(\vec{v}_{i}^{\top}C^{\top}\vec{u}_{i}\right)$$
(91)

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sigma_i\{B\}(\vec{v}_i^{\top}C^{\top}\vec{u}_i)$$
(92)

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \{B\} \|C\vec{v}_i\|_2 \|\vec{u}_i\|_2 \tag{93}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \{B\} \underbrace{\|C\|_{2}}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{\|\vec{v}_{i}\|_{2}}_{=1} \underbrace{\|\vec{u}_{i}\|_{2}}_{=1}$$
(94)

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \{B\} \tag{95}$$

$$=f(B). \tag{96}$$

This holds for all C such that $\|C\|_2 \leq 1,$ so taking the max over C gets

$$f(B) \ge \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr}\left(C^\top B\right)$$
(97)

as desired.

From parts (a) and (b) together, we can conclude that

$$f(B) = \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr}(C^\top B).$$
(98)

Here $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace, which for a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with entries X_{ij} is $\operatorname{tr}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} X_{ii}$.

(c) (6 pts) Show that for all $B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have

$$f(B_1 + B_2) \le f(B_1) + f(B_2) \tag{99}$$

i.e., the function f satisfies the triangle inequality.

HINT: Use the characterization of f given by (98). Also, you may use the linearity property of traces without proof, i.e., $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha X + \beta Y) = \alpha \operatorname{tr}(X) + \beta \operatorname{tr}(Y)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: We have

$$f(B_1 + B_2) = \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr} \left(C^\top (B_1 + B_2) \right)$$
(100)

$$= \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \operatorname{tr} \left(C^\top B_1 + C^\top B_2 \right)$$
(101)

$$= \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \le 1}} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left(C^\top B_1 \right) + \operatorname{tr} \left(C^\top B_2 \right) \right\}$$
(102)

$$\leq \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \leq 1}} \operatorname{tr}\left(C^\top B_1\right) + \max_{\substack{C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \|C\|_2 \leq 1}} \operatorname{tr}\left(C^\top B_2\right)$$
(103)

$$= f(B_1) + f(B_2). (104)$$

Indeed, f is a norm; note that f is called the *nuclear norm*, and it is quite relevant in signal processing. The reason that the nuclear norm is a good approximation to the rank function is because the nuclear norm is the so-called *convex envelope* of the rank function – the largest convex function which is a lower bound to the rank function. Intuitively a low-rank matrix should have only a few nonzero singular values, and the nuclear norm promotes this behavior. This has the same geometric picture as LASSO – using the ℓ^1 norm objective function to enforce that the solution has only a few nonzero entries – which we will explore later in the class.

(d) (5 pts) Is f a convex function? If yes, prove it. If no, justify your answer using an example.

HINT: You can use without proof the fact that $f(\alpha B) = |\alpha| f(B)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Solution: Yes, f is convex; the triangle inequality and the hint give

$$f(\theta B_1 + (1 - \theta)B_2) \le f(\theta B_1) + f((1 - \theta)B_2) = \theta f(B_1) + (1 - \theta)f(B_2)$$
(105)

for $B_1, B_2 \in \Omega$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$.