



### 1. Optimizing Over Multiple Variables

In this exercise, we consider several problems in which we optimize over two variables,  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and a general (possibly nonconvex) objective function,  $F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ . Suppose also that  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are constrained to different feasible sets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively, which may or may not be convex.

(a) Show that

$$\min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \quad (1)$$

i.e., if we minimize over both  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , then we can exchange the minimization order without altering the optimal value.

**Solution:** We first consider the quantity  $\min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ , which can be viewed as a function of  $\vec{x}$ . We can write

$$F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \geq \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \quad (2)$$

$$\geq \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \quad (3)$$

where both lines follow from the definition of a minimum. The inequality above holds for every  $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ , so it holds for the value  $\vec{x}$  that minimizes this quantity, i.e.,

$$\min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \geq \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}). \quad (4)$$

This inequality also holds for every  $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ , so

$$\min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \geq \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}). \quad (5)$$

By symmetry, we can reverse our treatment of  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  and arrive at the reversed inequality

$$\min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \geq \min_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}). \quad (6)$$

Since both (5) and (6) must hold, the expressions must be equal, as desired.

(b) Show that  $p^* \geq d^*$ , where

$$p^* \doteq \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \quad (7)$$

$$d^* \doteq \max_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}). \quad (8)$$

This statement is referred to as the *min-max theorem*.

**Solution:** By the definitions of minimization and maximization, we have that

$$L(\vec{y}) \doteq \min_{\vec{x}'} F_0(\vec{x}', \vec{y}) \leq F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq U(\vec{x}) \doteq \max_{\vec{y}'} F_0(\vec{x}, \vec{y}') \quad (9)$$

for every  $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ , or more simply,

$$L(\vec{y}) \leq U(\vec{x}). \quad (10)$$

Since this inequality holds for all  $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ , it holds for the value of  $\vec{x}$  that minimizes  $U(\vec{x})$ , and thus

$$p^* = \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathcal{X}} U(\vec{x}) \geq L(\vec{y}). \quad (11)$$

Similarly, since the above holds for all  $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ , it holds for the value of  $\vec{y}$  that maximizes  $L(\vec{y})$ , and thus

$$p^* \geq \max_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} L(\vec{y}) = d^* \quad (12)$$

as desired.